

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

WOLFF & SAMSON, P.C. ONE BOLAND DRIVE WEST ORANGE NJ 07052

COPY MAILED

JAN 1 7 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Samuel Chase

: DECISION ON PETITION

Application No. 10/600,313

DECISION ON PETITION

Filed: 20 June, 2003 Atty Docket No. 9388/2/1

This is a decision on the renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed on 24 October, 2006, to revive the above-

The petition is GRANTED.

identified application.

This application became abandoned on 15 March, 2005, for failure to timely submit corrected drawings in response to the Notice of Allowability, mailed on 14 December, 2004. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on 2 March, 2006. The petition filed on 21 July, 2006, was dismissed on 11 October, 2006.

Receipt of the new corrected drawings filed with the renewed petition is acknowledged.

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

⁽¹⁾ the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filing of a request for continued examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

⁽²⁾ the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

⁽³⁾ a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

⁽⁴⁾ any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

The application is being forwarded to the Publishing Division for processing into a patent.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions